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During the last years of Qing imperial rule, when Western medicine and notions of public health were being introduced in China, intellectuals and the political elite tended to accuse Chinese society of ignorance about proper behavior related to the avoidance of diseases. Such accusations usually became particularly severe during epidemics. The ordinary Chinese were typically blamed for being superstitious, filthy, and ignorant of germs and of the danger of the spread of diseases—in other words, totally lacking basic scientific knowledge of health and hygiene.

An article from February 14, 1911, in *Dagong Bao*, a major newspaper published in Tianjin, compared the Chinese people, oblivious as they were to the great danger of the epidemic of pneumonic plague devastating Manchuria, to ignorant children playing around a well, unaware of their imminent danger of falling in. In his contribution to this volume, Sean Hsiang-lin Lei draws our attention to an important remark in the same year by Xi Llang (1853–1917), governor general of the region: "In the beginning [of the outbreak], our bureaucrats, local gentry, and medical practitioners did not believe that epidemics [yi] could spread by contagion [chuanran]."1

The above two public remarks suggest that Chinese identified the plague as a manifestation of the indigenous medical category of *yi* or *wenyi* (epidemics), which was not contagious. This consensus reflected long-standing orthodox medical teachings about febrile diseases. The oldest umbrella category for these conditions was *shanghan* (Cold Damage). Built into *shanghan* doctrine were configurationist assumptions that outbreaks affecting many people at the same time were triggered by something in the environment: unseasonable weather, perhaps, or malign local qi (breath, energy). Epidemics were simply extreme variants of this pattern.
Elite writers noticed that the pneumonic plague epidemic in Manchuria—a consequence of increasing global traffic—was totally new to the Chinese. Moreover, for the Chinese authors, this newness seemed to explain popular ignorance. Nevertheless, this does not mean that the idea that some diseases could spread by contagion (chuannan) was unknown in China before 1900.

For a Chinese person before 1900, what exactly did it mean for a disease to involve chuannan? In the twentieth century, the term quickly became the standard translation for the biomedical notion of "contagion" as "the communication of disease from one person to another by bodily contact." But chuannan was actually an old word, used as early as the tenth century to express complex and ambiguous concepts about the spread of disease from person to person. Its root ran—literally, to dye—is part of ancient compound words (i.e., words made up of two or more characters) that convey notions such as transmission, infection, or even contagion. However, it is unlikely that a Chinese in the first years of the twentieth century talking about chuannan had in mind our biomedically inflected concept of a disease transmitted from person to person via a microscopic organism. Older ideas of chuannan specific to the Chinese context may have escaped our notice because today we take this modern meaning for granted. Such attitudes reveal the particular Chinese conceptualization of the chuannan (literally, transmission by dyeing) mode of the spread of diseases before the language of Western biomedicine came to dominate Chinese public discourse later on in the twentieth century.

The purpose of this essay, therefore, is not to study the history of the concept of contagion as defined by Western biomedicine in traditional Chinese society, but to trace the evolution of the term chuannan and its changing meanings, in comparison with other terms with the root ran. By so doing, we hope to gain a better understanding of the traditional Chinese idea of the communicability of diseases, indispensable for our assessment of the reception in China of the modern Western idea of contagion.

Contagion versus Chuannan

Even in Europe, contagion as the transmission of diseases from person to person is a modern notion. It is generally agreed that there was no clear idea of contagion by contact up to the Middle Ages. Some historians believe that the Western idea of diseases’ being communicable from one person to another came from Arabic medicine in the twelfth century and was largely related to nonepidemic diseases. As late as the sixteenth century, when Girolamo Fracastoro published an important book on contagion, in which he further developed the idea of "seeds" of contagion, the mechanism and channels of contagion remained ambiguous. Ambiguities about contagion continued well into the nineteenth century. As Margaret Pelling has convincingly emphasized, the modern idea of contagion inherited a story involving "striking contrast, between the germ theorists, and the sanitarians who were misnassatists and believed that smogs caused diseases... The concepts of contagion, infection, andismas accumulated layers of connotation over time, and effectively became not single concepts but many." The contrast has been largely simplified in modern literature on the history of medical thought.

Pelling points out, for example, that it is too simplistic to say that the idea of contagion was monopolized by the scientifically minded bacteriologists and that the so-called misnassatists were anti-contagionists. In fact, the two groups had some broadly similar ideas about contagion. The transmission of poison by water or air, for instance, was accepted by both, but the misnassatists were unclear on the process by which the poison could travel from the sick to the healthy. For an influential doctor such as Max Josef von Pettenkofer, who was not a germ theorist, cholera and typhoid did not spread directly from one individual to another, but by water and air infected by germs that had become toxic in the soil after leaving the bodies of the sick. Therefore the similarities and differences between the misnassatists and bacteriologists on the question of germs and contagion were complex and subtle in the mid-nineteenth century, when Western Europe experienced its first attacks of cholera.

This observation is also shared by François Delaporte in regard to the 1832 cholera epidemic in France. In 1870, a discussion published in the British and Foreign Medical-Chirurgical Review on current theories of contagion admitted that "considerable obscurity still surrounds the whole question of the nature, origin and prevention of contagion." Contagion is therefore a difficult concept to trace and clarify before the nineteenth century, even in Western societies. It was the gradual domination of germ theory from the late nineteenth century onward that finally shaped our modern understanding of contagion.

Chuannan, the Chinese term used by Xi Liang and other political and cultural elite writers around the beginning of the twentieth century, was
equally ambiguous when used to express the spread of diseases. Between the tenth century and the end of the nineteenth, it was commonly used both as a verb and as a noun, but not as an adjective. It was used interchangeably with other terms with the root ran—such as xiangran (mutual dyeing), ranyi (exchange by dyeing), and garran (affect by dyeing)—to express the spread of diseases during epidemics as well as the transmission of non-epidemic diseases. This illustrates the complexity and flexibility of the idea contained in the character ran. By the late imperial period, chuanran was clearly the most popular term to express the idea of the spread of diseases, including notions of contagion and infection.

Ran is a very old Chinese word, its first known meaning being to dye, implying tainting, staining, or changing color. It is interesting to note that the English word “infection” also originates from a root meaning “to put or dip into something,” “leading to infect and infectio, staining or dyeing.” The same is true also of the nouns “contagion” and “miasma,” “which derives from the Greek verb miasma, a counterpart to the Latin infectio. Impurity is therefore a basic element in all three concepts.” Similar to the first Chinese concepts for the spread of diseases were also derived from the idea of tossing or dyeing, implying the polluting of an originally pure object after it comes into contact with a polluting source. The process of ran could also imply an implicit transformation of the nature of the polluted object after prolonged contact with the contaminating source.

**Early Connotations of Ran**

As already pointed out by Barbara Volkmar, ran is the key Chinese term related to the concept of the communication of diseases. Today Chinese still use ran as a verb to describe catching a disease: running literally means to become tainted with a disease. This term implies two processes—namely, a healthy person’s coming into contact with a polluting source, and the subsequent corruption of the originally healthy body. However, ran was initially not used in any medical context. It should therefore be useful if we first trace the use of the word in ancient Chinese, for a better appreciation of the original use of ran as an expression of contamination.

Dyeing was an important undertaking in ancient China, especially the dyeing of raw white silk into different colors, a task that was entrusted only to specialists in the Western Zhou court (roughly the eleventh to eighth centuries BCE). Later on, ran, always in the form of a verb, was used metaphorically, meaning to contaminate, especially in the context of bad customs and mores. The Shang shu (meaning “book of history,” compiled around the fourth century BCE) already used ran to mean the corrupting of customs by external influence. Such usage was fairly common in ancient texts, mostly in the negative sense, but sometimes in the neutral or even positive sense, meaning customs were or could be ran (charged or improved) by external influences. This usage of ran became very common in ancient classics and historical writings, often in combination with jian (to immerse); jianran literally means to take up new color after prolonged immersion. According to the philosopher Mozi, this process caused a “change in the nature” of a person.

One of the first appearances of ran in the sense of disease spreading appears in the San guo zhi (History of the three kingdoms) from the third century CE. In the extreme south of China, where “water and earth” were saturated with “poisonous qi,” soldiers and ordinary people traveling in and out of the region would “certainly develop illnesses and contaminate each other” (zhuang xiang su ran). The spread of disease is here linked to the concept of ubiquitous poisonous air and the large number of people exposed to such environmental influences. A similar term also appears in the Zhouhau bei jing (Handy recipes for urgent uses), a famous contemporaneous medical text by Ge Hong (283–343). In this text, a dozen recipes, many of which are more ritual than herbal, are said to be effective in stopping vomiting (Warm Disease—that is, illnesses that are caught in the cold season but that develop only during the warm season), so that patients with such conditions would not xiangran zhuo (contaminate and affect each other). Judging from the fact that these recipes treated not only the patients themselves, but also members of the household and even the residence, we might conjecture that the idea of the spread of disease was linked essentially with contaminated bad air within the area of the household. The word zhuo is of particular interest here, as it emphasizes the effect of “being affected,” implying some fundamental change in the healthy body after the tainting process. Zhuo would later on be used rather frequently with ran (ranzhuo) to express a serious case of disease-causing contamination.

It is important to emphasize that phrases containing ran to convey the spread of disease did not appear in the earliest medical classics. Indeed, those works were mostly concerned with the cosmic relations between the individual body and the environment. Discussions on the body as a vehicle for disease transmission appear in the literature only after the third century CE.
In the following paragraphs, I attempt to show that there is a historical development of the terms incorporating ruan toward more specific modes of disease transmission after their first appearance. I would, first of all, suggest the following chronology: The idea of the spread of disease by contamination emerged around the third century CE, evidenced by the fact that the first terms containing ruan in this sense are found in this period. The idea began as a vague and complex notion. Its content became richer by the seventh century, when the major modes of disease transmission were described and more or less fixed. From the tenth century on, and particularly after the twelfth century, with the emergence and popularization of the term chuanran, contamination by personal contact with the sick gained increasing attention. This concept of transmission was developed fully and in more concrete terms in the late imperial period. I would like to begin this discussion with Chao Yuanfang’s classic, *Zhubing yuanyao luo* (On the origins and symptoms of all diseases), an important text compiled in 610 CE, in which the basic modes of disease transmission were described in detail.

**Modes of Disease Transmission from the Seventh to the Twelfth Centuries**

Chao Yuanfang’s classic provides the fullest account of the main modes of disease transmission within the specific social and religious context of the early seventh century. We can distinguish three major modes of transmission that were expressed by terms containing ruan and also yì (exchange) in this important text.

### Transmission by Contamination and Switching

(Answering Question 105)

First, we find *jianran* (dye by immersion; also in the reverse order as ranjian). This term already occurs in ancient nonmedical texts to express the notion that prolonged contact with a polluting source provoked a change in the nature of a person. By contrast, Chao used the term to describe contamination within the body. Several disorders were characterized by the gradual growth of diseased parts inside the body that finally affected surrounding healthy parts, leading to more serious and distinct pathological conditions. The disorder called sheng, for instance, was initially caused by depletion of the viscera and bowels, leading to indigestion. The internal congestion of qi in the body then produced a disorder of the bowels, leading to the externalization of the disease.

"after prolonged and deep imbibing" later on congealed into a solid and immovable block, finally turning into a sheng (concretion). Another example is excessive skin or a polyp growing on the eye, which was explained as the result of "prolonged tainting and immersion" of the corner of the eye with hot qi rising from the Liver. The symptom of "great heat" (shuangre) in children was explained by conflicting cold and hot qi inside the intestines and stomach that "emerge after prolonged tainting and immersion."24

One can conjecture from these cases that jianran in such disorders referred to contamination of the healthy parts of the body by an originally smaller, sick part that had developed a pathology, after a period of "immersion." In a way, jianran is comparable to what we now understand as infection—that is, a kind of internal contamination causing a fundamental deterioration of the concerned body part, showing symptoms different from the original malaize.25 The original disorder thus underwent a radical transformation after prolonged imbibing and became something quite different and more serious for the patient.

The second mode of ruan as disease transmission discussed in Chao’s text is expressed by the term xiangran (mutual contamination) or its variations, such as zuoran xiangran yì and xiangran yì. Xiangran was perhaps the most frequently used term for contamination in the medieval period—meaning, mostly, contamination by sickly qi that existed in a specific locality or was generated by patients. Most of the time, the term referred to tainting by bad, pathogenic qi in the atmosphere. Chao specifically saw unseasonable qi (shì) or perverse (guǎn) -qi as causing illnesses that would "contaminate and exchange [hosts]" (xiangran yì).37 Warm Diseases (wēnbing) would also emit a pathogenic qi (bìngqi) that would shift, contaminate, and exchange hosts in a two-stage process: annihilating first the entire family (mienlen), and then outsiders.38 Unlike the shanghan (Cold Damage) disorders caused by cold and discussed by Zhang Ji during the Han dynasty,34 warm diseases and disorders caused by unseasonable qi would "affect by dyeing/contaminating" (ranhuo)38 and were thus more dangerous. Similar observations were also made by other major doctors of the period, such as Sun Simiao.39 The key polluting element involved in the xiangran mode of transmission was the pathogenic qi that affected people under its influence. The presence of such qi was a necessary condition for the secondary person-to-person transmission that affected first family members and then outsiders.

The third mode—expressed by the terms ranì (exchange by dyelg), shuì (exchange by pouring), and their variations—involves the specific
transmission of disorders directly from one person to another. Chao Yuanfang was one of the first medical authors to articulate the ancient idea of *shu* (outpouring, pouring) fully in medical terms. Chao defined *shu* as follows: "*Shu* [pouring] . . . implied [first] the *shu* (stationing) of an illness [inside the patient] that will be [later on] poured and exchanged (*shuiyi*) to a person close by after the patient’s death." One of the main pathogens believed to be transmitted in this way was the one that caused consumption (lao or *shui*).

In the eighth century, the medical author Wang Tao described consumption suffered by children, called *gan* (infantile depletion) or sometimes *wugu* (innocence), as a kind of *chuanshi* (corpse transmission), meaning a disorder caused by an outpouring of pathogens emerging from corpses: "Infants and children who suffer from *chuanshi* [outpour transmission] [of this disorder] are many." Among the several types of *shu* disorders described by Chao, it would be interesting to single out the magical transmission of *gu* (bug toxin) that entered the body of the cursed patient with the ultimate intention of killing him or her. After the death of the victim, the pathogen, called a *chong* (bug), would pour into a nearby person and "contaminate and affect" (*runzhuo*) him or her. This case deserves special attention as it implies the existence of vicious bugs inside the body of the affected person. These ancient religious or magical connotations remained a prominent feature of *shu* as described in medieval medical classics.

A significant development in the seventh century in the medical ideas of disease transmission was the new usage of the term *chuanshi*, the classic mode of transference of a pathogen within a body. *Chuanshi* was now used to describe the flowing of a pathogen from one body, dead or sick, to another, healthy body, as in the above-mentioned *chuanzhi* or in *fuzan*, which described the flow of the (originally) static pathogen in sequence, as a result of which a series of victims, usually from the same lineage, were killed by the same pathogen as it flowed from one body to another. This process did not involve tainting or corruption after prolonged contact with a polluting source. The word *chuanshi*, when combined with *shu* (*chuanshi*, meaning outpouring transmission) or *shi* (*chuanshi*, meaning corpse transmission), obtained this new meaning of the transmission of a pathogen from one body to another. The influence of Buddhist and Daoist ideas of shared guilt or collective responsibility of the lineage on the medical expression of transmission was marked in this period.

Chao Yuanfang discussed another new medical idea related to *shu*. If a weak person lived close in space to a patient with *shu* disease, the qi would flow and shift, and the previously healthy person would contract the illness by "contamination and exchange" (*ranzi*) even when the latter was still alive. The fact that such an outpouring, called *zheng shu* (*shu* of the living) involved a process of contamination by a living patient further clarified the subtle difference that still existed between *chuanshi* transmission and *ran* (dyeing or contaminating): The *shu* transmission of the same disorder from one living body to another was essentially a *ran* process, in which contact between the two bodies caused contamination or some radical change in the originally healthy body. However, the *shu* transmission of the same pathogen from a corpse to a living body was a *chuanshi* process, in which the pathogen simply left the dead body and traveled into another living body. Despite this difference, a key point is that the illness thus exchanged in both cases was still identical to the one suffered by the original patient or dead person, while this was not specified in the above cases of *xiangran* (thus implying that the *xiangran* mode of transmission might include the spread of different disorders under the same perverse qi). In other words, the idea of the transmission of the same disorder was emphasized in the cases of *shu*, *chuanshi*, or *chuanshi*.

The descriptions of transmission modes involving *shu*, *chuanshi*, and *ran* in Chao's classic show that in the seventh century, lines between *shu* and *chuanshi* transmission and *ran* contamination began to blur. One should note particularly the new usage of the term *chuanshi* to express transmission of diseases from one body to another. This connotation prepares us for its eventual combination with the term *ran* (contamination) to create a new conception of disease spreading from one body to another—namely, *chuanshi ran*.

Moreover, we can detect another characteristic of the *ranzi* or *shuiyi* mode of disease spreading: it sometimes involved a contaminating agent. One example in Chao's text is found in his discussion of the transmission of bad body smell (*tuchou*; literally, a bad smell like that of a wild fox). According to Chao, inharmoious blood (*xue*) and qi caused a bad smell in the armpit: "This qi can contaminate, exchange [hosts], and affect others. Children who have this condition usually contract it from their wet nurses who have it in the first place." In other words, breast milk, as the essence of blood and qi, was considered the agent of transmission of this disorder from the wet nurse to the infant. Sun Simiao summarized the two causes of this disorder as follows: "There are those who have these by heavens (*tiansheng*;
i.e., innately) and those who were contaminated [ran] by others to become smelly. It is hard to cure the former and easy to cure the latter. The danger of bad milk, as the result of bad blood, would be further developed in the late imperial period.28

Finally, a subcategory of the third mode of person-to-person transmission by yi (exchange) was explained for the first time in these seventh-century texts—namely, exchange of disorders through sexual intercourse between a man and a woman. The term yin-yang yi (exchange between yin and yang) first appeared in the Han classic on Cold Damage disorders, Shanghan lun, with descriptions of symptoms without any explanation.29 Chao Yuanfang was one of the first to give this term a concrete interpretation: if a man or a woman had sexual intercourse before being completely cured of a Cold Damage disorder, Warm Disease, or unreasonable qi disorder (i.e., a disorder caused by the environment or weather), the person’s sexual partner would fall ill. The reason the mechanism was called exchange was provided by Chao: “When yin and yang interact, the poison [dormant inside the original patient] will be activated and dushuo [transfer and affect] the other person, like an exchange [juanyi].” This exchange occurred only during sexual intercourse between a man and a woman, not between partners of the same sex.30 Sun also admitted that “the illness of a woman could be ‘exchanged’ to sex.”31 According to the Shanghan lun and the authors of the Sui and Tang texts, the illness thus exchanged was not similar to the original one and had its own specific symptoms.

Leaving aside the first mode of chuanran that implied some kind of infection inside an individual body, the other two modes for the spread of diseases expressed by the terms ran and yi discussed above seem to allow us to draw the following conclusions, even though many points remain ambiguous or inconsistent: First, contamination was most damaging and widespread when there was a noxious qi affecting an entire locality. This could turn provoke mutual contamination among people. Second, the exchange of a disease from an individual to another, not necessarily during an epidemic, was conceptualized in this period as involving two stages: the disorder would first be transferred to family members and then to outsiders. This two-stage transmission was systematic in the person-to-person zhuyi or renyi mode and was only occasionally evoked in the xiangran mode, where an epidemic was implied. Third, it is unclear whether contamination in the xiangran mode caused disorders of the same symptoms, but the essential characteristic of the zhuyi, chuanran, or chuantishi mode was that

The Disorder Thus Transmitted Was the Same. Fourth, two specific channels of person-to-person ran contamination were specified in this medieval period: breast-feeding and sexual intercourse. An identical disorder was transmitted through the first channel, whereas the second would generate different disorders.

The Emergence of the Term Chuanran

Between the seventh and twelfth centuries, the term chuanran appeared both in literary and medical texts to describe the spread of diseases. One of the earliest examples is found in Ji shen lu (Records of the deities), by the early Song literatus Xu Xuan (917–92). In chapter three, Xu mentioned that the consumption of a fisherman’s daughter was transmitted (chuanran) from person to another, killing several people.32 In the famous Song collection of occult anecdotes—Yijian shi (Record of Yijian), by Hong Mai (1123–1202)—the family of a woman suffering from consumption avoided her for fear of chuanran.33 A third early example is found in Chen Fu’s Nong shu (Book on agriculture, ca. 121–49) in which the author stressed that just as human diseases such as Wind disorders, consumption, and foot disorders could chuanran, peasants should keep healthy animals separate from sick ones.34 A striking common feature of the above early records of chuanran is that the term referred predominantly to what we consider today as chronic disorders, especially consumption, believed to be transmitted by the ancient mode of zhuyi or chuanran mentioned above, often involving transmission by bugs flying out from corpses, affecting their family members. The term chuanran in these early cases was apparently not used to describe the spread of acute diseases.

The idea that consumption could be transmitted to others was very probably introduced by Daoist liturgists in the twelfth century. Lu Shizhong’s ritual handbook revealed an emerging idea of disease transmission in relation to consumption, perceived to be transmitted by bugs. The text described rituals to prevent contagion by clothing, bedclothes, the bed, and utensils, or by entering the house of the patient or sharing food. Things used by the sick or deceased were believed to be impregnated with corrupt qi or polluting bug toxin (gu).33 While disease transmission by bug toxin, as found in medical texts from the Sui and Tang dynasties, was typically described as occurring within a household, this Southern Song Daoist text recognized contagion irrespective of family relationship. Michel Strickmann consid-
ered this text a departure from the Daoist tradition that a chain of disease transmission was a consequence of shared guilt or genetic predisposition within a lineage. From then on, anyone in proximity to the sick or the dead was liable to chuanran contagion. Such contagion was no longer uniquely a moral cause of disease; rather, it could simply be a consequence of carelessness.

One of the first medical authors to use the term chuanran was Zhang Gao (1149–1227), who mentioned two recipes to treat consumption and prevent the disorder from spreading. Chen Yan, the author of the important medical text San yin bang (On the three causes of disease), 1174 considered the common skin disorder ringworm as transmissible by physical contact with the sick even though its main cause was the stagnation of Blood and qi on the skin. He was also the first to consider the dafeng lai disorder (big-wind scabies, an ancient disease now considered a kind of leprosy) as transmissible by contact. "Transmission through blood and qi," according to Chen, was not due to the retribution of sins from a former life, but to "carelessness."

Chuanran by sexual intercourse began to be discussed in the twelfth century. We have seen how Chao Yuanfang and other seventh- and eighth-century doctors explained the "yin yang exchange" of Cold Damage, unseasonable qi, or Warm Disease through sexual intercourse, as a mechanism integral to a cosmic process involving a man (yang) and a woman (yin). In the twelfth century, this mechanism was conceived as yet another form of ran contamination. Cheng Wuji of the northern Jin (Jurchen) dynasty—who in 1156 wrote an authoritative commentary on the Shanghan lun (Treatise on cold damage)—explained the yin yang exchange as follows: "Residual poison [from the patient] contaminates the other person [xiangran] and affects him or her like an exchange [during sexual intercourse]." The exchange was thus a contamination, a ran contagion. Cheng might have been influenced by the imperial medical encyclopedia compiled around 1111–17, Shengyi zonglu, in which the same exchange was explained as an "exchange by contamination" (rungyi) whereby "the disorder of the man was transmitted [chuanran] to the woman, or that of the woman to the man." This particular disorder, which originally belonged to the larger category of Cold Damage disorders, was gradually conceptualized as a mode of contamination through sexual intercourse. It was not only an exchange but also a chuanran transference and a ran contamination.

Despite the first appearances of chuanran being applicable mainly to chronic diseases, the term seems to gradually have obtained a broader meaning, becoming applicable also to the spread of diseases during epidemics of acute disorders and, from the twelfth century onward, replacing the much older term xiangran. The popular use of the term chuanran can be seen in the much-quoted debate on the fear of contagion during epidemics by two important twelfth-century Confucian scholars. Both Cheng Jiong and Zhu Xi condemned the practice of abandoning one's relatives during epidemics for fear of chuanran, which was a common practice (li at) at the time. Even though Cheng and Zhu approached the debate differently, their views reflected current ideas on the spread of epidemics as revealed in Chen Fu's Nong shu, mentioned earlier. In this text, Chen claimed that while diseases such as consumption and Wind disorders could mutually chuanran, the spread of epidemics was in fact due to the "steaming and smoking" of vicious qi. Without making a conscious distinction between chronic and acute diseases, doctors of the time did differentiate among various modes of transmission for different diseases.

We could conclude from the descriptions in these twelfth-century texts that doctors and society had grown increasingly interested in the question of contamination or the transmission of medical disorders by physical contact with the sick. However, during epidemics and for certain chronic diseases, chuanran was still considered as one of the many causes of illness, not the only or even the main cause. For epidemics, classic confucianist explanations of pathogenic qi—the pollution of the environment by filth, bad weather, or social injustice, or vicious demons' generating pervasive pathogenic qi and contaminating people in a locality with weak constitutions or morals—remained widely accepted, and the spread of disorders remained a ran process. Mutual contamination among inhabitants within a single locality was only a secondary cause. Finally, there was a growing interest in transmission by sexual intercourse. The above ideas on the spread of diseases by chuanran or xiangran were well established in Chinese medical texts by the twelfth century. In the subsequent Ming and Qing dynasties, doctors and scholars enriched the concept of chuanran by providing concrete details on the process of transmission.

The Ming-Qing Period (Fourteenth to Nineteenth Centuries)

Developments in the conceptualization of the spread of disease in the late Imperial period included the following. First, doctors in the Ming and Qing
dynasties provided many more concrete details about ran contamination. Second, 
chuanran clearly became the dominant term used to talk about the spread of disease from person to person, and it was increasingly used to mean transmission by physical contact, either direct or indirect, with the sick. Third, two lines of medical reasoning emerged. In one, chuanran was identified—with increasingly concrete details—with chronic diseases transmitted through intimate contact; in the other, medical innovators began to apply the concept to acute outbreaks of what we today would think of as epidemics.

In Ming and Qing medical texts about certain chronic diseases, three different paths of transmission by contact were described: by direct or indirect physical contact with the sick, by hereditary transmission, and by transmission through sexual intercourse. One of the first doctors to write on the first type of transmission was Gong Tingxian, a palace doctor of the early sixteenth century who authored several influential medical texts. His descriptions of the transmission of leprosy (ma feng) were reminiscent of the above-mentioned twelfth-century Daoist liturgical text, but couched in medical terms: “If careless while traveling, it could be transmitted (chuanran) in toilets, in living quarters, or by bedding and clothes.” The term “careless while traveling” reiterating ideas from Chen Yan’s twelfth-century passage on consumption mentioned above, probably means “men carelessly seeking sexual pleasure during their travels.” The consequence would be contagion by sexual intercourse or contact with materials contaminated by the sick as listed above. The second type of transmission by contact was hereditary transmission. As mentioned earlier, the medieval religious belief of shared guilt within a lineage was behind the notions of zhiyi (outpouring exchange), runyi (exchange by dyeing), and chuanlan (corpus transmission), in which a disorder poured from a dead patient into a healthy person—usually the patient’s progeny or a close relative—as in the case of consumption. The idea of hereditary transmission of disease in the late imperial period basically developed from these notions. Gong explained another cause for leprosy: “[The disorder could be] transmitted to others from ancestors or parents [descendants], from husbands and wives, or other members of the family.”

The third type of transmission by physical contact was through sexual intercourse. We have already traced the development of the ancient idea of yinyang exchange that had developed into transmission by contamination through sexual intercourse during the Song dynasty. Sexual transmission was discussed in the late imperial period mostly in the context of a new disease called yungmei chuang (bayberry sores) or Guangdong sores, as it was believed to have originated in Guangdong province. Modern historians of medicine usually identify this disease as syphilis, which was first recorded in southern China during the first decade of the sixteenth century.17

Li Shizhen, the author of the great classical pharmacopoeia Bencao gangmu (1579–93), was among the first to provide a detailed account of this disease:

Bayberry sores are not recorded in the old recipes, and there were no patients with it. The disease has begun recently in the southeastern region and spread all over. It is because the southeastern region is low and warm. There are mountains with mist that evaporates under the heat. People like to eat spicy and hot food. Men and women are lascivious and immoral. Dampness and heat accumulate deeply and form a pathogenic agent. This causes the development of noxious sores that are contagious to other people [hoxiang chuanran]. Spreading from the south to the north, the disease is now everywhere. But then all those who fall victims are lascivious people.

The spread of the disease through sex is clearly expressed in this passage, even though Li also emphasized the other causes of the disorder, especially the pervasive climatic and environmental conditions and the unhealthy dietary regimen in the south.

The same idea was repeated by Chen Sicheng, who authored the first book devoted entirely to the disease, titled Meishuang milu (Secret record of rotten sores) and published in 1632: “Recently, customs have deteriorated, and numerous are those who indulge themselves in brothels and do not take precaution while doing so. . . . Before they realize [that they are infected], they have already transmitted [the disease] to their wives and concubines or to their pretty boy servants. In earlier times, there were few medical books to correct this, which explains the uncontrolled transmission and contamination.” One should note here that the reason why leprosy was considered highly contagious in late imperial China was probably the fact that it was frequently confused with Guangdong sores. In other words, the appearance of the new disease of Guangdong sores might have modified the etiological discussions of an old disease that had deceptively similar external symptoms, and enforced the idea of sexual transmission as a major channel of transmission.

Nevertheless, sexual transmission was still considered part of a general
mode of transmission within a lineage. The belief that members of the same household had similar physical traits and lifestyles, making them more vulnerable to the transmission of diseases, remained strong. In religious terms, transmission was a consequence of shared guilt. For Guangdong, for instance, Chen considered chuanran to occur not only between husband and wife: “The disorder is not only transmitted through sexual intercourse . . . The disease can be transmitted [by the husband] to the wife or concubine. Even if the wife or concubine does not fall ill, the disease could be shifted (yi) to children, nephews, and grandchildren.” The 1742 imperial compendium Yinong jijian provided a similar account of the transmission of leprosy: “One cause of transmission [chuanran] through the contact with a patient, or from parents, husband and wife, or other family members.”

Despite the growing interest in the notion of chuanran as person-to-person transmission of disease in the late imperial period, there were still voices expressing reservations. While discussing the possible modes of transmitting mufeng, the early Ming palace doctor Liu Chun (1358–1418) expressed his doubts: “As for the question of chuanran, it may be possible. However, even though within the same family, there is mutual transmission [xianghe] through blood and channels, eating and drinking [in the same place], sharing the same habitat and temperament [qinwei], there should be no contamination [run] if internal heat has not accumulated deeply into a toxin.” Although he accepted the possibility of mutual contamination within the same household because members shared similar physical traits, habits, and living space, he did not see this as a normal channel of transmission and reluctantly conceded that it was possible only if the internal accumulated poison had reached an extraordinary degree. This difficulty of accepting person-to-person transmission can still be detected in later texts. Xiao Xiaotong—the author of an important work on leprosy, Pingmen quanshu, with a preface dated 1796—wrote: “Some would say that [since] the qi and blood of a person each follows its own vessels, how could [any pathogen] be transmitted from one [person] to another?” Being himself convinced of the contagiousness of the disease, he tried to convince his readers by using an analogy: “Do they not realize that even scabies can be transmitted and contaminate others, to say nothing of leprosy?” Liu and Xiao showed the difficulties of accepting chuanran when the vital Blood and qi of a person were believed to circulate in a closed system, corresponding to and interacting only with the cosmos.

The idea that chuanran contamination could be a factor in the spread of epidemic diseases began to be discussed in the seventeenth century. The development was epitomized in the seventeenth century by an important work on epidemics authored by Wu Youxing (mid-seventeenth century), a native of the lower Yangzi region. For Wu, abnormal weather was only one of several factors that provoked noxious epidemic qi. He coined the term suqi (impure qi) to express a kind of pollution-generating epidemic qi “could originate from town or from rural settlements . . . The so-called suqi . . . actually is the breath emanating from the earth of a locality [fangru shi qi]. Such and such a qi will cause such and such a disorder.” Epidemic qi, therefore, was mostly earthly, linked to the specific material conditions of a locality, causing all victims to suffer from a similar disorder. This idea of epidemic qi, not unlike that of miasma in contemporaneous Europe, was often associated with dampness of the earth and with foul matter. A combination of filth and dampness, when steaming from the soil and mixing with unseasonable qi, generated epidemics. Disease-causing filth was believed to steam and become a poisonous and infectious haze when the weather turned warm and humid. Zhang Lu, a late Ming doctor in the south (mid-seventeenth century), attributed shiyi (seasonal epidemics, specific in time and locality) to the emanation of filthy dampness from the earth, triggered by unseasonable, impure qi.

One particular contribution of some southern Ming and Qing doctors to the idea that environmental pollution provoked epidemic qi was the emphasis on dead organic matter. Death, to them, was the most dangerous and polluting element. Zhou Yangjin, an important early Qing doctor, was emphatic about the dead polluting effect of corpses:

Because of the slow burial of flesh and bones, qi emanating from corpses amasses in the earth, ascends and descends with [the qi of] heaven and earth, and drifts everywhere. People [under the influence of] this qi have no escape and will be infected with illness and die. This then aggravates seasonal pathogenic qi or corpse qi. It spreads and [people] get contaminated and recontaminated after prolonged immersion [in that qi, fu xiang jianran]. After a while, the qi becomes more deadly. Therefore epidemics always follow wars. Not only are people weakened by being under the influence of the qi, it is also that the accumulated filthy qi has reached an extreme level . . . The most venomous and filthy qi on earth produces epidemics.
In other words, medical texts by many seventeenth-century southern doctors stressed that miasmatic qi emerging from the earth or a locality polluted by filth, dampness, and especially death was more devastating than the climatic qi causing Cold Damage disorders described in early medical classics. This harmful, earthly qi was, moreover, believed to enter the victim’s body through the nostrils and the mouth. Wu explained that seasonal epidemics (shiyi), caused by special impure, epidemic breaths (napi, liqi), contaminated people “through the mouth and the nostrils . . . [whereas non-contaminating] Cold Damage pathogens enter through tiny pores [jiuqiao] into the circulation vessels [jing] where they flow from one [vessel] to another. The effect of Cold Damage is immediate, whereas the contaminated victim of seasonal epidemics manifests symptoms only after a period of time.” He also noted: “The mouth and the nostrils communicate directly with heaven. Received qi can come from heaven or from chuanran.” Wu’s idea of chuanran was therefore closely associated with local epidemics, where victims were infected by a seasonal external pathogen through the upper respiratory system. Consistent with the configurationist explanation (related to cosmological or environmental patterns such as yin and yang, the fives celestial spheres that constituted the basis of Chinese thought, and the six pathogenic qi) of epidemics, Wu’s inventiveness rested in his singling out local epidemics from the general classic category of Cold Damage disorders, highlighting the infectiousness of the specific epidemic qi. It is from this tradition that TCM experts in China drew inspiration for explaining and treating SARS in the spring of 2003.

In brief, the ideas of chuanran and contamination underwent interesting changes in the Ming-Qing period, although the basic modes of transmission remained largely unchanged since the seventh century. To explain the contaminating nature of epidemics, increasingly concrete elements such as filth caused by dead organic matter, in addition to dampness and warmth, were stressed. Local, earthly impure qi was now considered more dangerous than unreasonable atmospheric qi. For nonepidemic diseases, contamination and transmission were also described in more concrete terms, referring to mechanisms for indirect transmission such as toilets, bedding, and clothing. Pathogenic qi in both acute and chronic disorders was seen as entering the victim’s body through the mouth and the nostrils. Most important, the notion of transmission by close and direct physical contact, including sexual and hereditary or congenital transmission, was also specified. This idea of transmission by breath or bodily fluids such as blood of the parents, urine, perspiration, and breast milk, already described in seventh-century texts, had clearly become a widespread concept. Transmission of disease by sexual intercourse was the main point developed in this late imperial period—transmission both to the sexual partner, and to the fetus in the form of fetal toxin, if the intercourse resulted in a pregnancy.

Conclusion

It is quite clear to me that the sick body has been scrutinized more and more closely by medical writers, including in a social context, since the third century. By the seventh century, the basic modes of the spread of disease had been established and expressed in a language of contamination, epitomized in the word ran. But the term chuanran emerged only in the tenth century to refer more specifically to the transmission of the same disorder by contact with the sick, especially for chronic disorders like consumption. The term gained popularity in written texts, probably due to the ethical controversy launched by Neo-Confucian moralists in the twelfth century over the necessity to stay with one’s sick parents and relatives despite the danger of contagion during epidemics. In that context, however, there were different perceptions of chuanran by doctors, nonmedical elites, and the general populace. The full complexity of the notion of chuanran was not reached until the late imperial period, when both the content of noxious epidemic qi and the types of transmission for chronic disorders were given more concrete descriptions in medical texts. Chuanran as a term for disease transmission also came to eclipse the other older terms containing ran to express the general idea of the spread of disease.

The growing popularity of chuanran since the twelfth century had an important consequence for the conceptualization of the spread of diseases. Among its many layers of meaning, transmission by contact—in particular, direct physical contact with the sick not necessarily related by blood—probably became most significant. It conveyed the sick body as a dangerous body producing contaminating breath, bodily fluids, and excrement, and as a lascivious sexual body polluting its sexual partners and producing sick infants. The sick body was dangerous even after its death, as it would pollute the environment, provoking an epidemic qi and contaminating not only its progeny or relatives by the process of zhu, but also strangers in contact with the emanating qi.

The impact of the idea of chuanran on late imperial society remains a
topic to be studied. We can at least enumerate a number of new practices that revealed an increasing concern with contagious bodies. The first institutions for the segregation of leprosy patients, for instance, appeared in the sixteenth century. Unprecedented measures of segregation against smallpox were established by the Manchu government, beginning in the early seventeenth century. Variolation against smallpox was practiced after the sixteenth century, with the conviction that by so doing the vulnerable child with an innate fatal toxin could avoid contagion during an epidemic by having the toxin released under controlled conditions. And from the seventeenth century onward, community associations were organized on a massive scale to provide proper burial for exposed bodies. These late imperial practices demonstrate the currency of the idea of chuanran, either as direct person-to-person transmission, or as the spread of disease under the influence of a contaminating, local, epidemic qi.

One should indeed be mindful of the multiple layers of meaning of chuanran that built up throughout China's long imperial history. First, the term meant contamination not only by contact with the sick, but also by contact with a pathogenic qi, be it epidemic or nonepidemic, an idea originally expressed by xiangran. Furthermore, from the late Ming onward, many southern doctors distinguished between the noncontagious qi provoking Cold Damage disorders and the contagious local impure, epidemic qi. Second, during an epidemic and for certain chronic disorders, members of the same household or people related by blood were believed to be more vulnerable to contamination by a patient, a notion already described in seventh-century texts. Third, chuanran was never the only cause of the spread of any disorder. A polluted location, the weak physical constitution of the victim, bad geomancy of a residence, or moral flaws or wrongdoing were equally valid or more important causes, an idea confirmed and emphasized in twelfth-century texts. Fourth, disorders considered to be more prone to chuanran tended to be particularly deadly, such as severe epidemics caused by impure qi; chronic disorders with conspicuous poisonous sores such as smallpox, measles, Güzongdo sores, and leprosy; or diseases ending in a slow, painful death, such as consumption. Some of these disorders were closely associated with sexual transmission, and all of them provoked fear or disgust in late imperial society.

The term chuanran reflects how Chinese medicine easily accommodated pluralistic models of events, including outbreaks of disease, imagining them as the outcome of a dynamically interacting web of influences. This approach was different from that of the Aristotelian-trained European medical scientists, whose search for the strict understanding of a sufficient cause produced in the late nineteenth century monocular explanations—some of which had great explanatory power, but which tended, at times, to be reductionist.

Thus when Xi Liang said that people did not believe that the 1910 pneumonic plague could be transmitted (chuanran), he referred to one, but not all, layers of the term's meaning. He was probably referring to specific, direct, person-to-person transmission, while the populace upheld the traditional belief that they were under the influence of an epidemic qi, or that it was an epidemic of the noncontagious Cold Damage type, as Wu Youxing of the late Ming might have suggested. Some Chinese probably thought that running away from the place under the influence of that qi would keep them safe. They did not believe in person-to-person mutual contamination under that particular epidemic breath, especially when the illness did not provoke conspicuous external symptoms, or if their family members were not affected. All these ideas were built into the term chuanran that, nonetheless, disregarded the acuteness of epidemic diseases. Xi's perception of chuanran might already have incorporated modern biomedical ideas of germs, justifying Wu Lien-teh's (the head of the mission to fight the 1911 Manchurian pneumonic plague, see Sean Hsiang-li Li's chapter in this volume) drastic measures of quarantine, while the understanding of the populace was still loaded with traditional meanings.

This leads to a final point that I would like to stress here: the choice of chuanran as the Chinese term to translate contagion and infection when Western medicine was introduced into China, especially after germ theory became dominant around the beginning of the twentieth century. The complexity of the modern idea of chuanran at its early stage is revealed in an interesting text for a popular audience that was published in the newspaper Daqong Bao in the summer of 1902, ten years before the pneumonic plague epidemic and Xi Liang's comment: "These diseases are caused by minute living poisonous chong [weisheng du chong] introduced into the blood and channels, causing various disorders. These chong multiply very quickly, so that transmission is fast. I think that chong is a transformative living substance [biweisheng zhì wù], and that there must be a chong-transforming poisonous qi [huachong zhì duì] that provokes the proliferation of chong." This passage shows the persistent importance of the traditional notions of chong as a polluting element, and of poisonous qi as constituting a patho-
genic ecology. Germs (eventually translated as weisheng wu, or "minute living things") are here perceived as a variation of chong pulling under toxic qi. Hence we clearly see the emergence of a biomedical perception of contagion or infection by germs, still heavily laden with traditional ideas. The term chuanran facilitated and at the same time distorted the new biomedical idea it was incorporating at the early stage of the idea's introduction, partially explaining the tremendous efforts Wu Lien-teh had to make to convince people of the necessity to set up a quarantine.
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